

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,701	11/09/2001	Hans-Ueli Roeck	34152	7952	
PEARNE & C	7590 01/29/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER			
1801 EAST 9	TH STREET	LEE, PING			
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	OH 44114-3108	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/044,701	ROECK ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ping Lee	2614				

	Ping Lee	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonoion foo
Laterisations of unite in pay 50 colorates of control of or order of control of the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		lucina or cimplifuina t	on incure for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying t	le issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,	,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the province of the provin		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

/Ping Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed "a momentary acoustic surround situation" reads on the momentary acoustic surround situation" reads on the momentary acoustic surround situation in Chapple. Under the background of the invention, Chapple explicitly discloses that the invention is for public use, such as for patients in the dentist office or waiting areas. Col. 1, lines 14-18. The momentary acoustic surround situation in Chapple is the situation when there is a need to override the music signal being yeld in a public area by slowly introducing voice signal at a certain moment, such as when there is an amouncement being made. Col. 1, lines 19-22. Furthermore, the microphone picks not only the voice signal, but also other signal at the momentary surround situation. For claim 20, Chapple clearly discloses the filter unit (33, 31, 15, 17). The claimed "values of the parameters" read on the level of the audio signals from 11 and 13, assignals from 11 and 13 passing through the filter (15, 17), the values of the parameters are changed, such as when the levels of the music signals are lowered when an announcement is being made. For claim 24, it is well known to those in the art that a transfer function defines the relationship between the output and its input. Thus, the claimed transfer function ado not the gain between the microphone and the headphones. Since the voice signal is being gradually increased and mixed with the music signals, there are plural cains and thus output larnsfer functions.